Attorney Docket No. 009621-39189

by certify th

his correspondence (and any paper or fee referred to as being transmitte therewith) via facsimile SSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231, on

(Date of Transmission)

7/8/02 (Signature and Date)

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Patent Application of:

David Henry Small and Lisa Fodero

: Art Unit

1642

051

10/.053,653 Serial No.

: Examiner

Unassigned

Filed

January 17, 2002

Title

METHOD FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF

ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE AND OTHER:

JUL 1 8 2002

PRION RELATED DISORDERS

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Assistant Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

COPY OF PAPERS OF GINALLY FILED

Sir:

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97-1.99, applicants respectfully request that the following references be made of record in the above-identified application:

United States Patents

Issued

Inventor(s)

5,200,324

April 6, 1993

Navaratnam et al.

Foreign Patents

Published

Inventor(s)

WO 99/15695

April 1, 1999

Small et al.

Copies of the aforementioned publications are submitted herewith along with a completed form PTO-1449. The references are listed on form PTO-1449.

Navaratnam et al. discloses a screening method for Alzheimer's Disease based on the detection of an anomalous isoform of AChE in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF).

only be dealt with by adopting an arbitrary set of conditions to avoid false readings, the disclosure is in no way suggestive that an effective test could be based on BuChE.

Small et al. teaches a diagnostic test for Alzheimer's Disease that is based on the presence of glycosylated AChE in the CSF. The test is a comparative determination of the ability of AChE to bind to Con A and wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) since it binds differently and the binding ratios can be utilized to identify the glycosylation pattern. There is nothing in the determination taught by Small *et al.* that would suggest that a similar assay based on BuChE as claimed in the above-identified patent application would be efficacious. To the contrary, it is well known in the art that AChE and BuChE have substantially different glycosylation patterns. Hence, although as is taught in the present specification, a diagnostic test for Alzheimer's based on BuChE might operate is a similar manner to one based on AChE, there is no suggestion in Small et al. that it would work. This is particularly true when it is considered that Small et al. in conducting their assay specifically remove BuChE from the test sample.

The above citations do not constitute an admission that the references are relevant or material to the claims; they are cited only as constituting the closest art of which applicant is aware. As such, it is respectfully submitted that the Claims presented for examination define patentable subject matter thereover. Favorable consideration on the merits is respectfully requested.

Fees

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.97(c), since this Information Disclosure Statement is submitted before the mailing of the first Office Action on the merits or within three months of the filing date for the above application, no fees are believed to be necessitated by this Information Disclosure Statement. Should any fee be required, please charge the required fee to Deposit Account No. 03-3839.

Thank you for your consideration. Milly

Date: July 8, 2002

William H. Epstein Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 20,008

Gibbons, Del Deo, Dolan, Griffinger & Vecchione One Riverfront Plaza Newark, New Jersey 07102 (973) 596-4500

Form PTO-1449 U.S. Department of Commerc								nent	of Commerce	Atty. Docket No.		C : IN		
	Patent and Trademark Office								'		Serial No.			
PE INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITAT								ATIO)N	009621-39189		10/053,653		
0'	رق/							-						
Ulse several sheets if necessary)										Applicant: David Henry Small and Lisa Fodero				
JUL 1 4 200														
TENT & TRANSPORT										Filing Date: January 9, 2002		Art Unit: 1626		
O THAT									II C DATES					
		_							U. S. PATEN	T DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initial		Do	ocument Number Date						Date	Name	Class	Sub-class	Filing Date	
										1		If Approx		ropriate
		5	2	0	0	3	2	4	04-06-93	Navaratnam et al.			1.77	оргине
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>\</u>		I	ORI	EIGN PATENT	DOCUMENTS	<u></u>	<u>. </u>	J	
*Examiner Initial			Foreign Patent Document Date of						Date of	Name of Patentee or	Class	Sub-class	Translation	
	Office		Document Number Publication of Cited Document							Applicant of Cited Document.			Translation	
													Yes	No
	WC)	9 9	1	5	6	9	5	01-04-99	Small et al.				
			C	THE	R DO	CUM	EN7	S (Ir	cluding Autho	or, Title, Date, Pertinent F	age, Etc.)		<u>.L</u>
					11-11-									·
Examiner									D:	ate Considered	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
EVALUE	· ·													
EXAMINE	R: Ini	tial i	f refer	ence o	onsic	erec	, wh	ethe	r or not citation	n is in conformance with his form with next comm	MPEP 60	9. Draw line	throug	<u></u>

RECEIVED

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

JUL 1 8 2002

TECH CENTER 1500 2900